City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 55.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COLINCIL MEETING OF MAY 16 2007

| CITT COUNCIL MELTING OT: MAT 10, 2007 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER | | | | |
| DIRECTOR: | DOUGLAS A. SELBY | | □ Consent | ∑ Discussion |
| SUBJECT: ADMINISTRAT | IVE: | | | |
| cost and revenue | ole action related to the Las Vega resources to achieve efficient op ability and pride in our neighbor | erations" and "Sup | port and encor | |
| Fiscal Impact | | | | |
| No Imp | act Aug | mentation Requir | ed | |
| | Funds Available | 15 | | |
| Amount: | | | | |
| Funding Source | ce: | | | |
| Dept./Division | | | - | |
| • | | | | |
| PURPOSE/BAC | KGROUND: | | (1) (U | |
| On December 21, | , 2005, City Council approved th | e revised Strategic | Plan, which a | ligns key |
| performance indicate | cators (KPI) to eight strategic pri | orities. KPIs estab | olish a baseline | e for |
| implementing the | city's strategic plan and allow for | or data-driven deci | sion making. | |
| Since last May, th | ne city of Las Vegas team memb | ers have annually r | reported on ke | y performance |
| indicators to city | council. Staff will report on rece | ent KPI accomplish | nments. | |
| | | 700 | | |
| RECOMMEND | | | | |
| Receive presentat | tion and direct staff accordingly | | | |

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Strategic Planning Priorities - Key Performance Indicators

Motion made by GARY REESE to Hold in Abeyance to 6/6/2007

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0 BRENDA J. WILLIAMS, LOIS TARKANIAN, LARRY BROWN, OSCAR B. GOODMAN, GARY REESE, STEVE WOLFSON, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

Minutes:

Given the lengthy agenda, CITY MANAGER SELBY suggested trailing this matter until the end of the morning session, to see if time would allow the Council's consideration. However, immediately following Item 69, MAYOR GOODMAN opted to hold it in abeyance to the next Council meeting.